



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 976/2025

TP CENTRAL ODISHA DISTRIBUTION LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Anand Kumar Shrivastava, Ms.
Ishita Jain, Mr. Chetan Saxena and
Mr. Mudassir, Advs.

versus

SEASIDE UTILITIES PRIVATE LIMITEDRespondent

Through: Ms. Swati Bhardwaj and Mr. Jayant
Bajaj, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

% **01.12.2025**

1. The present petition under Section 29A(4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted on 16.02.2021. It is stated that the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 30.11.2025, and therefore, the present petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
3. It is stated that the learned Arbitrator has reserved the award and, therefore, the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal be extended for a period of six months to enable the learned Arbitrator to pronounce the Award.
4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an



application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 30.11.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 31.05.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.

6. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 01, 2025

Rahul