



\$~39

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 3956/2024, CRL.M.A. 38233/2024

CRL.M.A. 38235/2024

SUNCITY HI- TECH INFRASTRUCTURES PRIVATE LIMITED

THROUGH ITS AR RITESH CHAUHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: None.

versus

DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT THROUGH ITS
DIRECTOR MINISTRY OF FINANCE & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Zoheb Hossain, Spl. Counsel for
ED, Mr. Vivek Gurnani, Panel
Counsel for ED, Mr. Kartik
Sabharwal, Adv., Mr Rishabh Shukla,
Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

13.11.2025

%

1. Learned counsel for ED submits that the quashing of the ECIR/09/HIU/2019 dated 27.06.2019 was sought on the ground that the FIR for the predicate offence stands quashed. However, the said Order of quashing of the FIR for the predicate offence stands revived by the Order of the Apex Court dated 06.10.2025, copy of which is filed, which be taken on record.
2. Since, the basic premise of quashing of the FIR is gone, it is submitted that there is no merit in the present Petition.
3. None is present on behalf of the Petitioner.



4. The Petition is dismissed in default and for non-prosecution.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/va