



\$~84

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 17569/2024 & CM APPL. 74809/2024 & CM APPL. 1177/2025**

PRAVEEN REEHL & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shambo Nandy, Advocate
(through VC).

versus

PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK, & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sudhir Nandrajog, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Santosh Kumar Rout, SC
with Mr. Ramani Mishra, General
Manager, Ms. Dharna Veragi,
Advocate.

Mr. Abhinav Vashisth, Sr. Adv and
Ms. Vatsala Kak, Advocate for
Omkara ARC

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

%

10.01.2025

1. It is noticed that that an application has been moved by the petitioner seeking withdrawal of the present petition.
2. Learned counsel for respondent Bank i.e. Punjab National Bank is also present along with the bank officials and they also have no objection in this regard.
3. However, during course of the hearing, Mr. Abhinav Vashisth, learned Senior Counsel and Ms. Vatsala Kak, learned instructing counsel have also joined the proceeding through *video conferencing* and submit that the manner in which some settlement seems to have been arrived at between petitioner and respondent Bank is not appropriate and, therefore, they object to the withdrawal of the present petition.
4. Be that as it may, since the case is at the very initial stage and the

W.P.(C) 17569/2024

1



petitioner has, categorically, averred in the application under disposal that he is no longer interested in pursuing with the present petition anymore, the present petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

5. All rights and contentions of the parties, including that of the highest bidder, are reserved.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 10, 2025/sw