



\$~SB-1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 1207/2024 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 2168/2024**

TASLEEM AHMED

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Sowjhanya Shankaran, Advocate
(Applicant in CRL.M.A.
26757/2025).

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amit Prasad, SPP for State with
Mr. Akhand Pratap Singh, SPP, Mr.
Harshil Jain and Mr. Saravjeet Singh,
Advocates.
Insp. Sandeep Kharab, HC Manish
(Special Cell/NDR)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN

SHANKAR

ORDER

% **08.09.2025**

CRL.M.A. 26758/2025 (Exemption)

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CRL.M.A. 26757/2025

1. The present application under Section 403 of B.N.S.S., 2023 has been filed by the Applicant/Ms. Sowjhanya Shankaran, Advocate, seeking rectification in Paragraph No.19 of the Judgment dated 02.09.2025.

2. It is stated that inadvertently, the name of the Applicant/Ms. Sowjhanya Shankaran, Advocate, has been mentioned as an "Accused" in Paragraph No.19 of the Judgment dated 02.09.2025. It is stated that the Applicant is the appointed Counsel for the Accused - Asif Iqbal Tanha and



not an “Accused”. Paragraph No.19 of the Judgment dated 02.09.2025 reads as under:

“19. To ascertain the correct picture, it is pertinent to sum-up the proceedings of the Trial Court and the same are tabulated as under:—

DATE OF THE ORDER	SUMMARY OF THE TRIAL COURT’S ORDER-SHEETS
xxx	xxx
11.09.2023	- The accused persons namely, Devangana Kalita, Natasha Narwal, Sowjhanya Shankaran, Asif Iqbal Tanha objected to commencement of arguments on charge as the investigation is still ongoing. - Learned SPP stated that he is ready to begin the arguments and objections were raised that despite giving considerable period of time for starting the arguments on charge, no adjournment application was moved on time. He stated that the accused persons will later claim bail on the ground of delay in trial. - The Appellant herein, Tasleem Ahmed has submitted that he



	<i>wants the arguments on charge to begin.</i>
XXX	XXX

3. In view of the above, the name of the Applicant/Ms. Sowjhanya Shankaran, Advocate, stands removed from Paragraph No.19 of the Judgment dated 02.09.2025. Paragraph No.19 of the Judgment dated 02.09.2025 will now be read as under:

“19. To ascertain the correct picture, it is pertinent to sum-up the proceedings of the Trial Court and the same are tabulated as under:—

DATE OF THE ORDER	SUMMARY OF THE TRIAL COURT'S ORDER-SHEETS
XXX	XXX
11.09.2023	- <i>The accused persons namely, Devangana Kalita, Natasha Narwal, Asif Iqbal Tanha objected to commencement of arguments on charge as the investigation is still ongoing.</i> - <i>Learned SPP stated that he is ready to begin the arguments and objections were raised that despite giving considerable period of time for starting the arguments on charge, no adjournment application was moved on time. He</i>



	<i>stated that the accused persons will later claim bail on the ground of delay in trial.</i> <i>- The Appellant herein, Tasleem Ahmed has submitted that he wants the arguments on charge to begin.</i>
XXX	XXX

4. The application is disposed of.

5. The present Order and the Judgment dated 02.09.2025 be read conjointly.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

SEPTEMBER 08, 2025

S. Zakir