



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 971/2025

GAYATRI INCORPORATION

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Shweta Singh Patihar, Advocate

versus

CELLPHONE REPAIR STORE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Piyush Goyal, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

28.11.2025

%

1. The present petition under Section 29A(4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted by this Court on 16.03.2023. The last of the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has been extended by this Court till 14.11.2025. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 14.11.2025, and therefore, the present petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
3. It is stated that final arguments are going on before the Arbitral Tribunal.
4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after



the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 14.11.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 30.05.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.

6. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2025

S. Zakir