



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8478/2025**

DEEPA

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. A. K. Chaudhary, Advocate.**

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Tarang Srivastava, APP for State
with SI Jitendra, PS-Malviya Nagar. .**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

03.12.2025

%

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C./528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No. 67/2018 under Sections 354B/451/509/506/323/34 IPC registered at P.S. Malviya Nagar and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.
2. Issue notice. Mr. Tarang Srivastava, learned APP for the State accepts notice. He points out that the main accused namely, Titu has passed away and the co-accused, who is sister of the main accused has filed the present petition.
3. Petitioner, as well as, respondent no.2 are present in Court. They have been identified by Mr. A.K. Chaudhary, learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as, by Investigating Officer SI Jeetendra, PS-Malviya



Nagar.

4. The case set out in the present petition is that a neighbourhood quarrel had taken place between respondent no.2 with the petitioner and her late brother Titu, which led to the registration of the present FIR.

5. During pendency of the proceedings, due to the efforts and attempts made by the friends, elders as well as the respectable people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement, terms whereof were reduced in writing in the form of settlement dated 10.10.2025, copy of which is Annexure-P4 to the present petition.

6. On a query posed by the Court, respondent no.2 states that she has settled the matter with the petitioner and does not wish to prosecute the criminal proceedings any further and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

7. At this stage, apt would it be to refer to the observations of the Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab, (2012) 10 SCC 303: (SCC p. 340, para 58)***

“58. Where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored; securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor.”

8. In view of the settlement between the parties, the ultimate chances of conviction in the case are bleak, therefore, continuation of criminal proceedings will not serve any useful purpose and it will be an exercise in futility.



9. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.
10. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 67/2018 under Sections 354B/451/509/506/323/34 IPC registered at P.S. Malviya Nagar alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.
11. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 3, 2025/jg