



\$~O-2 to 9

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ ARB.P. 2039/2024

KARAN MEHTA .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar  
Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.

**O-3**

+ ARB.P. 2040/2024

SH ANIL KUMAR SINGH YADAV .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar  
Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.

**O-4**

+ ARB.P. 2041/2024

MAHIPAL SINGH MANN .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar  
Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.



**O-5**

+ ARB.P. 2042/2024

RENU KUMAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.

**O-6**

+ ARB.P. 2043/2024

RAKESH SAKHUJA & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.

**O-7**

+ ARB.P. 2044/2024

GAUTAM JHANJEE & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.



**O-8**

+ ARB.P. 2045/2024

AKANKSHA KESWANI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.

**O-9**

+ ARB.P. 2051/2024

GANGA RAM & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Dhruv Gautam and Mr. Tushar Tyagi, Advs.

versus

SPIRE TECHPARK PRIVATE LIMITED

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shivam, Adv.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV**

**ORDER**

**% 16.01.2025**

1. Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties.
2. The facts of these cases clearly indicate that the parties had entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) and Article 9 thereto, specifically provides for dispute resolution mechanism.
3. There is no objection by the respondent in appointing an arbitrator to decide the dispute involved in the instant cases.



4. The Court takes note of the fact that with respect to almost a similar MoU, the Court in *ARB.P.1156/2024*, appointed Ms. Shreya Vedantika Mehra, Advocate (Mob No.+91 9910027557) as the Sole Arbitrator.
5. Looking at the similarity of the dispute involved in the instant cases, the Court appoints Ms. Shreya Vedantika Mehra, Advocate (Mob No.+91 9910027557) as the Sole Arbitrator to adjudicate the dispute between the parties.
6. The Sole Arbitrator may proceed with the arbitration proceedings, subject to furnishing to the parties, requisite disclosures as required under Section 12 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (*hereinafter referred as "A&C Act"*).
7. The Sole Arbitrator shall be entitled to fee in accordance with the IV<sup>th</sup> Schedule of the A&C Act; or as may otherwise be agreed to between the parties and the learned Sole Arbitrator.
8. The parties shall share the arbitrator's fee and arbitral cost, equally.
9. All rights and contentions of the parties in relation to the claims/counter claims are kept open, to be decided by the Sole Arbitrator on their merits, in accordance with law.
10. Needless to say, nothing in this order shall be construed as an expression of opinion of this Court on the merits of the controversy between the parties. All rights and contentions of the parties in this regard are reserved.
11. Accordingly, the instant petitions stand disposed of.

**PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J**

**JANUARY 16, 2025/P/MJO**