



\$~97

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 17929/2025, CM APPL. 74144/2025 & CM APPL. 74145/2025

OM PRAKASH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Deshraj, Mr. R.P Chaudhary and Mr. Mukul Mehra,
Advs.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sameer Vashisht, Standing
Counsel with Ms. Harshita N, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

%

26.11.2025

1. This matter is being taken up today on account of public holiday declared on 25.11.2025 on the occasion of 350th anniversary of 'Guru Teg Bahadur's Martyrdom Day'.
2. This petition is filed seeking quashing of order dated 07.11.2025 passed by Sub-Divisional Magistrate (in short SDM), Kapashera.
3. The brief facts are that the petitioner claims to be 1/4th shareholder/owner in possession of land comprising Khasra Nos. 25//6 (4-16), 7/1 (3-10), 26//10 (4-9) situated in village Kangan Heri, New Delhi-110071. The area in question was declared as Low Density Residential Area by notification dated 18.06.2013 by Ministry of Urban Development, Government of India. On the basis of Halqa Patwari's report, the SDM passed an impugned order dated 07.11.2025 for maintaining status quo and



restraining illegal construction/plotting/unauthorized construction in the land in question till further orders. The affected parties were asked to furnish sanction plan/ documents/building permissions within three working days. This writ petition is filed impugning the order dated 07.11.2025

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner after arguing for some time and realizing that no response in pursuance to the interim order was filed, is not pressing this writ petition, restricting the prayer that the time to produce the documents asked for and to establish title be extended by one week.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent in view of the restricted prayer has no serious objection.

6. The petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file response to the impugned order on or before 03.12.2025.

7. The respondents before proceeding further shall consider the response of the petitioner in accordance with law and by passing a speaking order.

8. The petition is accordingly disposed of.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2025/Pa