



\$~88

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3887/2025**

NEERAJ & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. M.S. Khan, Mr. M. Arshyan, Mr.
Akbar Kaleem, Ms. Shaziya and Ms. Anvita,
Advocates

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
with SI Kiran Yadav, PS-DBG Road

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

26.11.2025

The matter is taken up today as 25.11.2025 was declared as holiday on account of Guru Teg Bahadur's Martyrdom Day.

CRL.M.A. 35094/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.A. 35095/2025

3. This is an application filed on behalf of applicants/petitioners seeking condonation of 18 days delay in re-filing the petition.
4. For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.
5. Application stands disposed of.



W.P.(CRL) 3887/2025

6. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No. 302/2022, U/S 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS-D.B.G Road.

7. Issue notice. Mr. Sanjay Lao, learned Standing Counsel for the State accepts notice.

8. The petitioners, as well as, respondent no. 2, who are present in Court, have been identified by Mr. M.S. Khan, learned counsel for petitioner as well as by the Investigating Officer, SI Kiran Yadav, PS-DBG Road.

9. The brief facts of the case are that marriage between petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 was solemnized on 18.04.2017 according to Hindu Rites and Customs. However, on account of some temperamental issues certain disputes arose between petitioners and respondent no.2 and they started living separately, which led to the registration of present FIR.

10. During pendency of the proceedings, the parties have amicably resolved their disputes and arrived at a settlement, the terms whereof have been reduced in writing in the memorandum of understanding (MOU) dated 04.09.2024, which is annexed as Annexure-P2 to the present petition.

11. In terms of the said settlement, petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 decided to dissolve their marriage by filing a petition for divorce by way of mutual consent. Accordingly, petitioner no.1 and respondent no.2 have obtained a decree of divorce dated 19.11.2024.

12. It is a term of the settlement between the parties that the petitioners shall pay a total sum of Rs.6,00,000/- to respondent no.2 towards full and final settlement. Out of the said amount, a sum of Rs.4,00,000/- has already



been paid by the petitioners to respondent no.2 in the manner as mentioned in the settlement. The remaining amount of Rs.2,00,000/- is paid today electronically by way of RTGS bearing transaction ID No. NRTGS/BKIDR52025 112600521052/ROS.

13. On a query posed by the Court, respondent no.2 states she has settled the matter with the petitioners and does not wish to prosecute the criminal proceedings any further and she has no objection in case the FIR in question is quashed.

14. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the criminal proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

15. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

16. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 302/2022, U/S 498A/406/34 IPC registered at PS-D.B.G Road alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.

17. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

18. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 26, 2025/jg