



\$~60

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 5658/2008 CM APPL. 11267/2021 CM APPL. 11396/2021
CM APPL. 45800/2022

THE MANAGEMENT OF ASHOK HOTEL ITDCPetitioner

Through: Mr. Ravi Sikri, Adv.

versus

PERUMAL & ANR.Respondent

Through: Mr. Barun Kumar Sinha, Adv. with
Mrs. Pratibha Sinha, Mr. Sneh
Vardhan and Mr. Sidhant Thakur,
Advs. for R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% 25.04.2025

CM APPL. 24476/2025

1. In compliance with the order dated 08th May 2024, an undertaking has been filed by respondent no.1 stating that they shall repay the entire amount (*only principal amount*) for the year 2009-2020 to the petitioner within the stipulated period.
2. The said undertaking has been present by *Mr. Barun Kumar Sinha*, Counsel for respondent no.1.
3. The said affidavit is taken on record.
4. *Mr. Ravi Sikri*, Senior Counsel, who appears for petitioner, states that some confirmation as to how much time will they take to pay shall also be provided.

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 30/04/2025 at 16:04:19



5. In this regard, the petitioner shall provide the total calculation of the amounts paid during the period 2009-2020 (*only the principal amount*), and shall communicate to *Mr. Barun Kumar Sinha*, Counsel for respondent no.1, through a written communication, within the next two weeks.

6. The amounts shall, thereafter, be paid within six months to the petitioner in four equal instalments.

7. Application is, therefore, disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

W.P.(C) 5658/2008

1. *Mr. Barun Kumar Sinha*, Counsel for respondent no.1 states that, considering these circumstances, that respondent no.1 had been employed elsewhere, he has no objection if the award dated 31st January 2008, passed in ID No.153/2003, is set aside *qua* respondent no.1.

2. Considering that there is no other workman as a party in this petition, *Mr. Ravi Sikri*, Senior Counsel for the petitioner states that the petition can be disposed of on these terms.

3. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of.

4. Pending applications, including application under Section 340 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (**Cr.P.C.**) are, therefore, rendered infructuous and are disposed of.

5. Liberty is given to the petition to seek appropriate remedies if there is any non-compliance of the undertaking given before this Court by respondent No.1.

6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 25, 2025/MK/tk