



\$~67

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 962/2025

M/S S.S. TOTAL CONSTRUCTION INDIA PVT. LTD.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Saurav Singh Yadav, Advocate

versus

UNION OF INDIA

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Revathy Raghavan, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

24.11.2025

%

1. The present petition under Section 29A(5) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted on 10.04.2023. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 13.11.2025, and therefore, the present petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
3. It is stated that the arguments have been concluded in the arbitral proceedings and only the arbitral award needs to be made and published and, therefore, the mandate of the Arbitrator be extended for a period of 06 months.
4. Learned Counsel appearing for the Respondents, on instructions, state that states that the Respondent has no objection to the present Petition.



5. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.
6. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 13.11.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 31.08.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.
7. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2025

Rahul