



\$~6

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO 282/2008 & CM APPL 4484/2025**
KAUSHALYA DEVIAppellant

Through: None.

versus

VEENA YADAV & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Ramesh Rawat, Advocate for
R-1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA

ORDER

% **28.11.2025**

1. The present appeal under Section 299 of the Indian Succession Act, 1925 assails the judgment dated 26.04.2008 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Delhi in probate case no. 298/2006, by which the trial court allowed the probate petition.

2. There is no representation for the appellant.

3. It is seen from the earlier orders that the sole appellant had died and the notice that was issued to the appellant has returned with an endorsement that there is no such person.

4. In view of the above, the appeal is disposed of as abated.

CHANDRASEKHARAN SUDHA, J

NOVEMBER 28, 2025/rs