



\$~47

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 17184/2024**

SANDHYA V N

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Alim Anvar & Mr. Keerthipriyan,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Arti Bansal, CGSC with Ms.
Shruti, Adv. for UOI.
Mr. Somesh Chandra Jha, Adv. for R-
2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

ORDER

05.12.2025

%

1. This petition is filed seeking directions to the respondent to consider the experience of the petitioner on the post of HSST as equivalent to that of PGT. The case set up by the petitioner is that PGT is equivalent to HSST.
2. The restricted prayer of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner be permitted to represent to respondent no. 2 and to substantiate that the petitioner possesses the necessary experience as required for the post of principal advertised vide annexure P-5.
3. In view of the restricted prayer made, learned counsel for the respondent has no serious objection.
4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation along with the documents relied upon within four weeks from today. In the



eventuality of the petitioner filing a representation, respondent no. 2 shall consider the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within six weeks thereafter.

5. Needless to say that the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if aggrieved of the decision.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J

DECEMBER 5, 2025

'JK'