



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3832/2025 & CRL.M.A. 34562/2025**
NAMAN BHATIAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Aditya Singla, Ms. Supriya
Juneja and Ms. Shreya Lamba,
Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent
Through: Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC (Crl.).
SI Mahavir Singh, P.S. New Friends
Colony.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER
21.11.2025

%

1. This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeks quashing of notice dated 18th November, 2025, issued by SI, P.S. New Friends Colony, New Delhi, directing the Petitioner to join investigation on 19th November, 2025.
2. The Petitioner is the victim who sustained injuries in an incident that occurred on the intervening night of 16th/17th October, 2025. His complaint resulted in registration of FIR No. 432/2025 under Sections 115(2)/126(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at P.S. New Friends Colony. The Petitioner's injuries stand recorded in the MLC dated 18th October, 2025. While investigation in the said FIR is in progress, the Petitioner received the impugned notice dated 18th November, 2025,



requiring his appearance in relation to an inquiry now being conducted in a complaint filed against him.

3. It appears that the said notice has been issued pursuant to a complaint lodged by one Aanjanayae Agarwal regarding the same incident. Although the complaint alleges that he and one Arav suffered bodily injuries, on a specific query of the Court, the Investigating Officer confirms that no MLC exists to corroborate these assertions. It is also noted that the notice has been served upon the Petitioner after more than a month of the incident.

4. Mr. Anand V. Khatri, ASC (CrI.) for the State submits that since a complaint has been received, certain queries need to be put to the Petitioner for bringing the inquiry to its logical conclusion.

5. Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner has suffered fractures and is, therefore, unable to appear in person. Having regard to his injuries, he may be permitted respond to the queries of the Investigating Officer through the video conferencing mechanism.

6. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with the direction that the Petitioner may respond to the notice dated 18th November, 2025, through video conferencing mechanism.

7. The Court has not commented on the merits of the case. All rights and contentions of the parties are left open.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2025

as