



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8279/2025 & CRL.M.A. 34514/2025**

RAJU AND ANR

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Parvez Alam,
Advocate for petitioners
along with petitioners in
person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Sunil Kumar Gautam,
APP for the State with ASI
Brijesh Kumar, PS New
Usmanpur.
Mr. Vikas Pal, Advocate
for R-2 & 3 along with R-
2 & 3 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

20.11.2025

%

1. The present petition is filed seeking quashing of FIR No. 251/2024 dated 20.04.2024, registered at Police Station New Ashok Nagar, for offences under Sections 323/341/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 ('IPC'), including the proceedings emanating therefrom. The chargesheet has been filed in the present case under Sections 323/325/341/34 of the IPC.
2. The brief facts are that on 19.04.2024 at about 9:30 p.m., Respondent Nos. 2 and 3 had gone to eat chowmein from the food cart of Petitioner No. 1. It is alleged that the chowmein served to the respondents was cold.
3. It is alleged that when Respondent No. 2 asked Petitioner



No. 1 to serve them hot chowmein, he refused to do the same.

4. It is alleged that when Respondent No. 2 asked for a refund, Petitioner No. 1 started abusing and physically assaulting Respondent No. 2. It is alleged that on seeing this Respondent No. 3 tried to intervene and resolve the matter.

5. It is alleged that thereafter, Petitioner No. 2 also arrived there and started to physically assault the respondents. Pursuant to a complaint given by Respondent No. 2 the present FIR was registered.

6. The present petition has been filed on the ground that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes by way of Memorandum of Settlement dated 18.08.2025, out of their own free will, without any coercion, pressure, undue influence, force, misrepresentation or mistake.

7. The parties are present in person and have been duly identified by the Investigating Officer.

8. On being asked, Respondents Nos.2 and 3 state that all the disputes between the parties have been settled. They state that they do not wish to pursue any proceedings arising out of the present FIR and has no objection if the same are quashed.

9. Offences under Sections 323/325/341 of the IPC are compoundable in nature.

10. This Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose would be served by relegating the parties to the learned Trial Court for filing an application to compound the offences.

11. Keeping in view the nature of dispute and that the parties have amicably entered into a settlement, this Court feels that no useful purpose would be served by keeping the dispute alive and continuance of the proceedings would amount to abuse of the



process of Court.

12. However, keeping in mind the fact that the State machinery has been put to motion, ends of justice would be served if the petitioners are put to cost.

13. In view of the above, FIR No. 251/2024 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, subject to payment of total cost of ₹10,000/- by the petitioners (₹5,000 by each petitioner) to be deposited with the Delhi Police Welfare Society within a period of eight weeks.

14. Let the proof of deposit of cost be submitted to the concerned SHO.

15. The present petition is allowed in aforesaid terms. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

NOVEMBER 20, 2025

DU