



\$~86

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 17588/2025**

POONAM AGGARWAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Kaushik, Mr. Mishal Johari, Mr. Vikas Ashwani, Ms. Aparna Kushwaha, Mr. Chirag Sharma, Mr. Mainak Sarkar, Mr. Yashpriya Sahran, Mr. Keshav Kumar, Advs.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh, Ms. Bharti Yadav, Advs. for R1, R5 and R6
Ms. Sangeeta Bharti, SC and Ms. Malvi Balyan, Adv. for R-2/DJB.
Mr. Ravindra Vikram (SPC) along with Mr. Ankit, Adv. for UOI
Mr. Balendu Shekhar, Adv. for R3/DPCC
Mr. Parv (Govt. Pleader) for R7/DP
Mr. Nimish Chib, Mr. Sukhmeet Singh, Advs. for R8 and R10

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

%

19.11.2025

CM APPLs.72615/2025, 72616/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Applications stand disposed of.

W.P.(C) 17588/2025 and CM APPL.72614/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner alleging, *inter alia*, that the private respondents, i.e., respondent nos. 9 and 10, are engaged in the illegal operation of a beverage and bottling plant and in illegal



extraction of ground water, viz. respondent no.8 (M/s Kannes Inc.).

4. During the course of hearing, it transpires that the petitioner has filed a civil suit seeking permanent and mandatory injunction, rendition of accounts and recovery of damages/ use & occupation charges against the said respondents premised on the same allegations. Numerous other judicial proceedings between the petitioner and the private respondents are also stated to be pending.

5. In the circumstances, this Court is not inclined to entertain the present petition. The same is accordingly dismissed.

6. Needless to say, the petitioner would be at liberty to pursue civil remedies and/ or make appropriate representation/s to the concerned authorities, as may be permissible under law, and which shall be considered on its own merit/s.

SACHIN DATTA, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2025/uk