



\$~49 & 50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CRL.M.C. 8231/2025, CRL.M.A. 34342/2025

CRL.M.A. 34343/2025

SHALINI GRAG & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Namit Suri & Ms. Surabhi Sinha,
Advocates.

versus

SHRI MADAN MOHAN PROPRIETOR OF BM TRADERS &
ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.

50

+ CRL.M.C. 8233/2025, CRL.M.A. 34349/2025

CRL.M.A. 34350/2025

SHALINI GRAG & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Namit Suri & Ms. Surabhi Sinha,
Advocates.

versus

SHRI MADAN MOHAN & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

19.11.2025

**CRL.M.A. 34344/2025 In CRL.M.C. 8231/2025 & CRL.M.A.
34351/2025 In CRL.M.C. 8233/2025 (Seeking Exemption)**

1. Allowed, subject to just exceptions.
2. Both the Applications stand disposed of.



CRL.M.C. 8231/2025 & CRL.M.C. 8233/2025

3. The above two Petitions under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 have been filed on behalf of the Petitioners seeking quashing of the Summoning Order dated 07.03.2024 passed by learned M.M, South-West District in Complaint Case No.5699/2024 and in Complaint Case No.5702/2024 respectively under Section 138 read with Section 142 of NI Act, 1881.
4. It is submitted that the Summoning Order has been made without application of mind and without considering the relevant facts. However, the Summoning Order is amenable to challenge by way of Revision.
5. The Petitions are disposed of with the observations that the Petitioners are at liberty to challenge the same in accordance with law in Revisional jurisdiction and may raise all the contentions taken herein before the Revisional court.
6. The above two Petitions alongwith pending Applications stand disposed of accordingly.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 19, 2025/va