



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 8232/2025, CRL.M.A. 34347/2025 & CRL.M.A.
34348/2025

PRAVEEN KUMAR PANCHALPetitioner

Through: Mr. R N Dubey, Adv. with the
petitioner in person

versus

THE STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Ms. Upasna Bakshi, Adv. along with SI Amil
Beniwal, PS M S Park
Respondent no. 2 in person

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL
ORDER
% **19.11.2025**

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 566/2015 registered at Police Station M.S. Park for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354B/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").
2. The brief facts of the case are that the petitioner/father tortured the respondent no. 2/daughter and threw her out the house and assaulted the respondent no. 2, resulting into registration of the present FIR.



3. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Respondent no. 2, on being queried by the Court as to why she consents to the quashing of the subject FIR despite the allegations being serious in nature, submits that she is now married and wants to begin life afresh and this incident, dating back to the year 2015, is a hindrance to doing so.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioner is present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station M.S. Park. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by the petitioner's counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably been settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.
10. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
11. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR no. 566/2015



registered at Police Station M.S. Park for the offences punishable under Sections 354/354B/506/509/34 of the Indian Penal Code, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

12. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

NOVEMBER 19, 2025

Sk/av

AJAY DIGPAUL, J