



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8179/2025 & CRL.M.A. 34173/2025**

RAHEES AHMED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abbas Khan, Mr. Kaushal
Sharma and Mr. Zuhaib Khan, Advs.

Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Mr. Bhuman Bansal, Adv.

SI Jitender Singh and Insp. Yogender, PS Jafrabad

Mr. Saleem Khan, Adv. for R-2 along with R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

05.12.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter “BNSS”) [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter “CrPC”)] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No. 324/2016 registered at Police Station – Jafrabad for the offences punishable under Sections 354/323/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter “IPC”).

2. The brief facts of the case are that respondent no. 2 had rented hall from the petitioner after paying Rs. 4,00,000/- as security, but he neither gave full possession nor returned the money. After repeated demands and failed assurances, he partitioned the hall and allowed her to use only half. When she objected on 09.08.2016, the petitioner refused, asked her to vacate, assaulted her husband, and when she intervened, he beat her with



sticks, misbehaved, touched her inappropriately, pushed her and threatened her.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Compromise deed dated 16.09.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-3. *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No.324/2016 registered at Police Station – Jafrabad against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

8. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Jafrabad. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. She further submits that she does not wish to pursue the present proceedings any further and seeks to put a quietus to the same, as she intends to move forward in her life.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR No.324/2016 registered at Police Station – Jafrabad for the offences punishable under Sections 354/323/506 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

DECEMBER 5, 2025/AS/dd