



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 944/2025**

M/S SVARRNIM INFRASTRUCTURES PVT. LTD.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. H. S. Kohli and Mr. Raghav
Kaushik and Mr. Mannat Kohli,
Advocates**

versus

M/S WAPCOS LTD.

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Anmol Wadhwa, Advocate**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

%

18.11.2025

1. The present petition under Section 29A(5) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that on 12.08.2023 Mr. V. K. Maheshwari, Retd. Principal Judge, Family Court, Rohini, Delhi, was appointed as the Sole Arbitrator by the parties to adjudicate on the disputes between the parties. The mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 09.09.2025, and therefore, the present petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
3. It is stated that cross-examination of Respondent is going on before the Arbitrator and, therefore, the mandate of the Arbitrator be extended for a period of 11 months.



4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.

5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 09.09.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 30.09.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.

6. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

NOVEMBER 18, 2025

Rahul