



\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.A. 534/2008 & CRL.M.A. 6193/2011**

NITIN

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Gaurav Verma, Advocate
alongwith Appellant through
V.C.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Satinder Singh Bawa, APP
for State with SI Renu, P.S.
Gokul Puri.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **18.09.2025**

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. The present appeal has been filed by the Appellant under Section 374 of Cr.P.C. against the judgment and order on sentence dated 02nd May, 2008 and 19th May, 2008 respectively, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi in Session Case No. 654/2006 arising out of FIR No. 381/2006 registered at Police Station Gokul Puri under Sections 376/452/323/506 IPC.
3. The Appellant was convicted under Sections 452/342/376/506(1) IPC.
4. It is submitted that the Appellant was released from jail on 04th April, 2012 after completing the sentence awarded to him. A letter



received from the Jail Superintendent, Central Jail No. 2, Tihar, New Delhi is annexed below:

“

Regular

(81)

**OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT: CENTRAL JAIL NO. 2
TIHAR: NEW DELHI**

NO. F.2/SCJ-2/AS (CT)/2012/ 4580 Dated: 20/4/2012

To

**THE REGISTRAR GENERAL,
HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF DELHI,
NEW DELHI – 110003.**

(Crl. Appeal No. 534/2008)

SUBJECT: REPORT IN RESPECT OF CONVICT NITIN S/O BRIJPAL IN CASE FIR NO. 381/2006, U/S 376/452/323/506 IPC, P.S. GOKULPURI,

Sir,

It is to kindly inform that convict Nitin s/o Brijpal who was undergoing sentence for 07 years RI & fine of 200/- I/D 20 days in aforesaid case has completed his sentence and he has been released on 04.04.2012.

This is for information

Yours faithfully

Neha
20/4/12
SUPERINTENDENT
Central Jail No. 2
Tihar, New Delhi

”

5. The Appellant states that he does not wish to press this appeal on the ground that it has become infructuous as he has already served his sentence.
6. The Appellant has been identified by SI Renu, P.S. Gokul Puri.



After questioning the Appellant, this Court is satisfied that he is making the statement after knowing the consequences of the same, as he has been made aware of the consequences of his statement.

7. The Trial Court Record and Nominal Roll of the Appellant perused.

8. Keeping in view the statement of the Appellant, which is taken on record, and the fact that the Appellant has already served the sentence awarded by the Trial Court, the present appeal is disposed of as not pressed.

9. A copy of this order be sent to the concerned Jail Superintendent as well as to the concerned Trial Court.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

SEPTEMBER 18, 2025/MR/abk