



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 943/2025**
M/S ALUMINIUM UDYOGPetitioner
Through: Mr. Gaurav Chauhan, Adv.
versus
PARNIKA COMMERCIAL AND ESTATE PVT. LTD.
.....Respondent
Through: Mr. Bhupesh Narula, Mrs. Rinku
Narula, Mr. Anugrah Ekka, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

ORDER
17.11.2025

%

I.A. 28651/2025

Exemption is granted subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 943/2025

1. This is a petition filed under Section 29A (4) and (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 seeking extension of mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal by a period of 6 months for concluding the arbitral proceedings and passing the final award.
2. Mr. Chauhan, learned counsel of the petitioner, states that the delay occurred due to the fact that the petitioner had filed for additional documents.
3. For the said reasons, issue notice.
4. Mr. Narula, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and states that he has no objection to the petition being allowed.
5. For the reasons noted above, I am of the view that sufficient cause has



been explained for the delay. The petition is allowed and the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal is extended by a period of 6 months from today.

6. The petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

NOVEMBER 17, 2025/AS

JASMEET SINGH, J