



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 17411/2025, CM APPL. 71964/2025 & CM APPL.
71965/2025 & CM APPL. 71963/2025.
MUKESH YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shashank Deo Sudhi, Mr.
Aru prakash, Advts.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pritish Sabharwal, SPC
with Ms. Shweta Singh, Mr.
Shiv Chopra & Mr. Sanjeet
Kumar, Advts.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER

%

17.11.2025

CM APPL. 71963/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(C) 17411/2025, CM APPL. 71964-65/2025

2. By the present petition, the petitioner challenges the Order dated 07.08.2025 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No. 1087/2025, titled ***Mukesh Yadav v. M/o Railways***, whereby the learned Tribunal has rejected the petitioner prayer for *interim* directions seeking release of his pensionary dues.

3. The learned Tribunal has observed that the *interim* relief is



relatable to the main relief itself and, therefore, cannot be granted at an *interim* stage.

4. We see no reasons to differ with this view. However, as the matters involves release of pension, we request the learned Tribunal, to take up the O.A., for hearing on the next date of hearing without granting any adjournment to either of the parties.

5. This petition, along with applications, is disposed of in above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 17, 2025/prg/P/ik