



\$~72

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8131/2025**

SAMEER CHAUHAN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Ghanshyam, Advocate with
petitioner in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State.
Mr. Narender Sharma, Advocate for
R-2 & 3 along with R-2 & 3 in
person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

%

17.11.2025

CRL.M.A. 33956/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 8131/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks quashing of the FIR bearing No. 36/2024, registered at Police Station Sultan Puri, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Section 363 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. The petitioner and respondent nos. 2 and 3 are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer



(IO) concerned from Police Station, Sultan Puri, Delhi.

6. Briefly stated the missing report in the present case was lodged at the instance of the complainant/father of the victim, culminating into the present FIR against the present petitioner under the relevant sections. The victim herein, who is present before this Court, was less than 18 years of age at the time of the alleged incident. It is also stated that in her statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C, she has not supported the case of the prosecution; instead, she states that she herself had accompanied the present petitioner. It is however stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Memorandum of Understanding (*MoU*) dated 23.09.2025.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 and 3, who have been identified by the IO, have categorically stated that they have entered into compromise out of their own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat.. Therefore, she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 36/2024, registered at Police Station Sultan Puri, Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Section 363 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.



10. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.
11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 17, 2025/vc