



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3825/2024**
GAURAV BHARDWAJ

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms Ritika proxy counsel for main
counsel adv Himashu Jawa.

versus

STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Yasir Rauf Ansari, ASC for State
with ASI Mukesh PS Ranjeet Nagar.
Mr. Vikas Bhatia counsel for
respondent no 2 along with respondent
no 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

11.12.2025

%

1. A Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner seeking Quashing of FIR No.0688/2022 dated 07.10.2022 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at Police Station Ranjeet Nagar, Delhi.

2. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage was solemnized between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 according to Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that a female child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, the parties started residing separately.

3. It is further submitted that on 12.03.2022, on the Complaint of respondent No. 2, an FIR bearing No. 0688/2022 under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 got registered at Police Station Ranjeet Nagar.



4. It is stated that during the pendency of the matter, the same was referred to Mediation Centre where both the parties amicably settled all the disputes and differences vide Compromise Deed dated 23.04.2024, and it was inter alia settled between the parties that the respondent No. 2/wife and the petitioner No. 1/husband shall dissolve their marriage by decree of mutual consent. It is stated that petitioner No. 1/husband shall pay a sum of Rs. 7,50,000/- to the respondent No. 2/wife towards full and final amount of all the claims of the respondent No. 2/wife and child. It is also stated that the petitioner No. 1 has already paid Rs. 7,50,000 to respondent No. 2/wife in three instalments viz., Rs.2,00,000/- was paid at the time of recording of statements in First Motion Petition under Section 13(b) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, Rs.3,00,000/- was paid at the time of recording of statements in Second Motion Petition under Section 13(b)(2) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

5. It is further stated that the remaining third installment of Rs.2,50,000/- has also been paid by the petitioner No. 1/husband at the time of quashing of FIR No. 0688/2022. It is also stated that the child shall remain in the custody of respondent No. 2/wife.

6. It is also stated that on 03.09.2024, the marriage between petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 had been dissolved as per Hindu law.

7. In view of the Compromise Deed dated 23.04.2024, the present petition has been filed.

8. The parties are present before this Court in-person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. The parties have endorsed the amicable settlement and accepted the terms thereof voluntarily.



9. The parties have submitted that all the disputes have been amicably settled vide Compromise Deed dated 23.04.2024 and thus, no fruitful purpose will be served in continuing with the FIR.

10. The present petition has been signed by all the parties and is supported by their respective affidavits. The parties have reaffirmed the terms of the settlement arrived at vide Compromise Deed dated 23.04.2024 and they also submit that the said Compromise Deed has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and coercion.

11. Today, the complainant/respondent No. 2/wife, who is present in Court, states that she has received all amounts due to her. The only apprehension expressed by the Complainant is that the maintenance for the rights of the child have not been addressed in the present Settlement. However, it is clarified that the present Settlement is without prejudice to the rights and entitlements of the child. The Complainant has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

12. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a family matter, I am of the opinion that no useful purpose will be served in continuing with the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. Hence, it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto.

13. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

14. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 0688/2022 registered at Police Station Ranjeet Nagar, for offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the



Indian Penal Code, 1860 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

15. The petition stands disposed of along with the pending Application(s).

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J
DECEMBER 11, 2025/va