



\$~35

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8059/2025 & CRL.M.A. 33673/2025**

MOHD SAMAD QURESHI & ANR.Petitioners

Through: Mr. Shekher Chand Jain, Adv.
Petitioners in person.
versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI AND ANRRespondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Mr. Ashish Mahani and Mr. Bhuman Bansal,
Advs.
SI Pratap Vikram Singh
Mr. R.C.S. Bhadoria, Adv. for R-2 along with R-2
in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER
14.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioners praying for quashing of FIR no. 405/2025 registered at Police Station – Sadar Bazar for the offences punishable under Sections 79/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023 (hereinafter "BNS").
2. The brief facts of the case are that on 06.04.2025 when respondent no. 2 was returning home with her husband on a scooter, the petitioners who were in a taxi started catcalling her and making obscene gestures towards respondent no.2. Thereafter, respondent no. 2 got the present FIR registered.



3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.
4. Compromise deed dated 13.08.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure-B. *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR no. 405/2025 registered at Police Station – Sadar Bazar against the petitioners.
5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.
6. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.
7. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
8. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Sadar Bazar. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
9. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties, and that the petitioners have apologised profusely and promised to never repeat these actions.



10. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioners and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

11. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR no. 405/2025 registered at Police Station – Sadar Bazar for the offences punishable under Sections 79/3(5) of the BNS, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

14. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2025/ar/av