



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8056/2025 & CRL.M.A. 33669/2025**

ANIKET

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yogesh Gupta and Mr. Jatin
Dhakoliya, Advs.

Petitioner in person.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with
Mr. Ashish Mahani and Mr. Bhuman Bansal,
Advs.

SI Sunil

Mr. Abhishek Shandilya, Adv. for R-2.

R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

14.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No.530/2021 registered at Police Station – Ambedkar Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 279/338 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. The brief facts of the case are that on 06.09.2021 an accident took place near Chirag Delhi Metro Station where the respondent no. 2 was hit by a vehicle driven by the petitioner. She was brought to the hospital by the



petitioner himself. An FIR was registered the next day at Police Station Ambedkar Nagar against the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future. It is further submitted that the respondent no. 2 has been duly compensated for the injuries suffered by her along with her medical expenses by the petitioner.

4. Compromise deed dated 04.10.2025 is on record and has been annexed to the petition as “Annexure P-4”. *Qua* this deed, the respondent no. 2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No.530/2021 registered at Police Station – Ambedkar Nagar against the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that respondent no.2 has settled all her claims in respect of all past, present and future medical expenses with the petitioner and all disputes of any nature whatsoever for a sum of ₹50,000/-.

6. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

9. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Ambedkar Nagar.



Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.

10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. As per the Compromise Deed, respondent no. 2 has received the entire settled amount. Further, she submits that she has no objection to the present FIR being quashed.

11. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2 amicably without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

14. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303, FIR No.530/2021 registered at Police Station – Ambedkar Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 279/338 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

15. The petition along with pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2025/ar/av