



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 8043/2025**

GULSHAN ANAND & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Mr.
Ankit Gupta, Adv.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for the
State along with SI Sunit Kumar.
R-2 with her counsel

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER
14.11.2025

%

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioners are seeking partial quashing of FIR bearing no. 198/2012, registered at Police Station Jagat Puri, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter '*IPC*') *qua* them.
2. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in person. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Jagat Puri, Delhi.
3. Briefly stated, the facts of the present case are that the marriage between the son of the petitioners and respondent no. 2 was solemnized on 28.05.2006 as per the Hindu rites and customs and were living with each other. However, after some time, due to different lifestyles and temperamental differences, both the parties could not reside with each other



and since 15.08.2007, the parties have started living separately from each other. Thereafter, due to temperamental differences between the son of the petitioners and respondent no. 2, on the complaint of respondent no.2, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners under the relevant sections. It is stated that the accused-husband has already been declared a proclaimed offender.

4. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Settlement dated 24.09.2025, entered between them at Delhi Mediation Centre, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

5. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2 who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that she has no objection if the present FIR is quashed *qua* the petitioners, who are her father-in-law and mother-in-law.

6. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion and no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

7. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 198/2012, registered at Police Station Jagat Puri, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of IPC and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed *qua* the present petitioners only.



8. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
9. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 14, 2025/A/R