



\$~43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.L.P. 129/2017**

STATE

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Yudhvair Singh Chauhan, APP for
the State with SI Pinki, PS Sultan
Puri.

versus

ASHOK KUMAR

.....Respondent

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

% **14.07.2025**

CRL.M.A. 3801/2017 (Condonation of delay)

1. Application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act read with Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (*hereinafter referred to as 'Cr.PC'*), has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner /State to condone the delay of 78 days in filing the accompanying Leave Petition.
2. For the reasons stated in the Application and in the interest of justice, the delay of 78 days in filing the accompanying Leave Petition, is condoned and the same is allowed.
3. The Application is disposed of accordingly.

CRL.L.P. 129/2017

4. Criminal Leave Petition under Section 378 CrPC has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner/State against the Order of acquittal dated 20.08.2016 of learned ASJ-01, North-West District, Rohini Courts, New Delhi in Case



FIR No. 1180/2015 under Section 354 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, and Section 10 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Sultan Puri.

5. For the reasons stated in the Leave Petition, the same is allowed and disposed of accordingly.

CRL.A...../2025 (to be numbered)

6. Appeal under Section 378(1) Cr.P.C. has been filed on behalf of the Appellant against the Order of acquittal dated 20.08.2016 of learned ASJ-01, North-West District, Rohini Courts, New Delhi in Case FIR No. 1180/2015 under Section 354 IPC and Section 10 of POCSO Act, registered at Police Station Sultan Puri.

7. Arguments heard on behalf of the learned APP for the State/Petitioner.

8. Opportunity given to the Respondent's counsel for addressing the arguments.

9. Order reserved.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

JULY 14, 2025/RS