



\$~65

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7988/2025 & CRL.M.A. 33383/2025**

HARISH SOLANKI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Daksh Dhankhar, Mr. R.K. Lamba and Ms. Bhavya Tokas, Advs.

Petitioner in person.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Digam Singh Dagar, APP for State.

SI Neeraj Kumar, PS Sector 23 Dwarka

Mr. Intkhab Alam, Adv. for R-2 along with R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

12.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR No.0310/2021 registered at Police Station – Sector 23 Dwarka for the offences punishable under Sections 341/354/506/509 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereinafter "IPC").

2. As per the complaint of respondent No. 2 (the complainant), on 01.08.2021 at about 9:45 AM, while she was working on the balcony of her house, the petitioner Harish Solanki allegedly came onto the terrace of his house and made obscene gestures towards her and uttering abusive words. She further alleged that on an earlier occasion, during a dispute related to house construction on 31.07.2021, the petitioner had quarreled with her family and a PCR call was made, after which the matter was resolved by the IO on the spot. The complainant also stated that the petitioner later made



another call to the police falsely accusing her family of theft. On the date of the incident, she alleged that when she went downstairs to inform her sister-in-law, the petitioner wrongfully restrained her, came close to her with ill intentions, twisted her hand, and attempted to grab her, after which she managed to escape and inform her family members. She further expressed fear, stating that she remained alone during the day and that the petitioner regularly threatened her and her husband, saying that he would have serious charges imposed so that her husband would be jailed, thereby intimidating her and threatening her honour. Based on these allegations, the FIR was registered against the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioner. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondent no. 2 have settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Memorandum of Understanding (hereinafter “Mou”) dated 03.11.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-4. *Qua* this MoU, the respondent no.2 has agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No.0310/2021 registered at Police Station – Sector 23 Dwarka against the petitioner.

5. It is prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the MoU.

6. Heard. Issue notice.

7. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the petitioner seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.



8. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
9. The petitioner is present before this Court and has been identified by his counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station – Sector 23 Dwarka. Respondent no. 2 is also present in the Court and has been identified by her counsel and the Investigating Officer.
10. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise on her own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by her that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and she does not wish to pursue the instant matter any further as she is now moving towards a peaceful future.
11. Keeping in view the fact that the parties are neighbors, the matter stands settled between the petitioner and respondent no. 2, amicably, without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.
12. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.
13. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR No.0310/2021 registered at Police Station – Sector 23 Dwarka for the offences punishable under Sections 341/354/506/509 of the IPC, and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.
14. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 12, 2025/AS/ryp