



\$~53 & 64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CRL.M.C. 8014/2025, CRL.M.A. 33483/2025

CRL.M.A. 33484/2025

SMT. SWATI

.....Petitioner

Through: Appearance not given.
versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for State.

64

+

CRL.M.C. 7966/2025, CRL.M.A. 33325/2025

CRL.M.A. 33326/2025

KAMAL BHANDARI & ORS.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Pankaj Mohan, Advocate.
versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Kiran Bairwa, APP for State.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

%

13.11.2025

1. The petitioners *vide* the present petitions under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seek quashing of cross FIRs No. 107/2021 under Section 504/506 IPC and FIR No.287/2020 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S. Sarai Rohilla, Delhi and all proceeding emanating therefrom in view of the Settlement arrived at between the parties.



2. A request for quashing of the FIR has been made on account of the Settlement *inter se* the parties. It is stated that the parties are related to each other and that the parties have amicably settled all their disputes. The parties have entered into MOU dated 06.03.2025 and have amicably settled all their disputes. The parties endorse the Settlement and shall remain bound by the terms of Settlement.

3. The parties are present before this Court in person today, and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer concerned. It is submitted that the marriage between Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 in CRL.M.C. 7966/2025 was solemnized on 28.06.2012 as per Hindu customs and ceremonies. From their wed-lock a female child namely Baby Kanishka was born on 08.07.2023. Due to some temperamental differences between the parties, they started residing separately from each other w.e.f 22.12.2022. The cross-FIRs were lodged by the parties against each other.

4. It is submitted that with the intervention of family, friends and relatives, the parties have settled their disputes vide MOU dated 06.03.2025. As per the terms of the MOU dated 06.03.2025, the agreed amount of Rs.7,50,000/- has already been paid to Smt. Swati who is Petitioner in CRL.M.C. 8014/2025 and Respondent No.2 in CRL.M.C. 7966/2025. The Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 in CRL.M.C. 7966/2025 have already taken divorce by mutual consent vide Divorce Decree dated 29.04.2025. It is also stated that from the wedlock of Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 in CRL.M.C. 7966/2025 one daughter was born, who is in the custody of Respondent No.2.

5. Today, the complainants who are present in Court state that they have no objection if the FIR is quashed.



6. In view of the above facts that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will and without any coercion and also the fact that the present matter is a matrimonial matter it would be in the interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. Moreover, there is no legal impediment in quashing the FIRs in question. It is made clear that the above Settlement is without prejudice to the rights of the daughter of Petitioner No.1 and Respondent No.2 in CRL.M.C. 7966/2025.

7. Accordingly cross FIRs No. 107/2021 under Section 504/506 IPC and FIR No.287/2020 under Section 498A/406/34 IPC registered at P.S. Sarai Rohilla, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

8. The petitions stand disposed of along with the pending Applications.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 13, 2025/va