



\$~59

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RC.REV. 362/2024 & CM Appl.70585/2024**

PARVEEN KUMAR GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. V.K. Sharma, Adv. with
Petitioner in-person

versus

SMT. KAMLESH

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Jitendra Kumar, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER

%

25.02.2025

1. The Petitioner appears through video conferencing.
2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent reiterates his contention that this Court on two previous occasions had recorded that the possession of the subject premises has been obtained by the Respondent through execution proceedings and since the possession of the subject premises has been restored, nothing further survives in the present Petition and the Petition has become infructuous.
 - 2.1 In this regard, reliance was placed upon the judgment passed by this Court in *Ashok Gupta v. Deepak Rao*; 2024 SCC OnLine Del 7148, which has relied upon the Judgments of the Supreme Court in *NC Daga v. Inder Mohan Singh Rana*; (2003) 1 SCC 453 and *Vinod Kumar Verma v. Manmohan Verma*; Civil Appeal Nos.5220-5221 of 2008 dated 19.08.2008.
3. Given the settled law in this behalf, the Petition has become infructuous and is accordingly disposed of. Pending Application also stands



closed.

4. The parties will act based on the digitally signed copy of the order.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2025/r

Click here to check corrigendum, if any