



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 17068/2025 & CM APPL. 70256/2025**

DEEPAK VATS

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. V.P. Yadav and Mr. Jatin Kumar,**
Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI & ORS.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Shriya Sharma, Adv. for UOI**
Mr. Kul Anand, Adv. for R-1, 2 & 3
Ms. Leexa Tuteja and Mr. Ishaan
Chawla, Adv. for R-8

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

11.11.2025

1. It is pointed out by the respondents that a suit with similar prayer, as made in the present writ petition, has already been filed by the brother of the petitioner.
2. Documents are handed over by learned counsels appearing for the respondents, which are taken on record.
3. After some arguments, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition.
4. Accordingly, the present writ petition, along with the pending application, is dismissed as withdrawn.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025/KR