



\$~102

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 17064/2025, CM APPL. 70251/2025**
SEA SHELTER WAREHOUSE PRIVATE LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. S. Vibu Nandhan, Mr. A. Viswanathan, Mr. Divyansh Singh, Mr. Kartikey Singhal, Mr. Suraj Singh, Advs.

versus

DIXON TECHNOLOGIES (INDIA) LIMITED & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Tushar Kumar, Ms. Varnika Bajaj, Mr. Junaid Qureshi, Mr. Darakhsha Parveen, Advs.
Mr. Atul Nagarajan, Mr. Uddhav Tandon, Advs. for R2

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SACHIN DATTA

ORDER

% **11.11.2025**

CM APPL. 70252/2025 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 17064/2025

3. The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking the following prayers:-

“a) Issue a writ of certiorari and / or any other writ order or direction of a similar nature setting aside the Impugned Order dated 28.07.2025 passed by the Ld. Sole Arbitrator;

b) Issue a writ of mandamus and / or any other writ order or direction of a similar nature directing the Ld. Sole Arbitrator and the DIAC to dispense with the participation of the Petitioner in the Arbitration Proceedings between the 1st Respondent and the 2nd Respondent, pending before the Delhi International Arbitration



Centre bearing (DIAC) CASE REF. No. DIAC/10398/03-25 as it is not a signatory to the arbitration agreement contained in the Agreements”

4. After some hearing, with the consent of learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent no.1 (Dixon Technologies-India Limited) and respondent no.2 (M/s JAIICO) the following order is passed:-
- i. The impugned order dated 05.08.2025 is set aside.
 - ii. It is agreed that the application under Order 1 Rule 10 filed by the respondent no.1 (claimant before the Arbitral Tribunal) shall be decided afresh after hearing the respective parties.
 - iii. Only after the application under Order 1 Rule 10 is decided one-way or the other, the Ld. Arbitrator shall proceed with adjudication and taking of further steps for adjudication of the substantive controversy.
5. The petition is disposed of in the above terms. Pending application also stands disposed of.

SACHIN DATTA, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025/ uk