



\$~66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7922/2025 & CRL.M.A. 33166/2025**

PANKAJ PARASHAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Kumar Jwala, Mr. Suprabh
Kumar Roshan and Ms. Richa
Sharma, Advocates alongwith
petitioners in person

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Naresh Kumar Chahar, APP for
the State
R-2 in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

11.11.2025

%

CRL.M.A. 33167/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7922/2025

3. By way of the present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 252/2024, registered at Police Station Bawana, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 336/338 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and Section 25/27/30 of Arms Act, 1959.
4. Issue notice. The learned APP accepts notice on behalf of the State.
5. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court in



person. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Bawana, Delhi.

6. Briefly stated, facts of the present case are that on 31.03.2024, during the engagement ceremony of the injured person, one Kuldeep, who is the brother of petitioner no. 1, a firearm belonging to petitioner no. 2 was produced for the purpose of taking photographs at the instance of the injured himself. During the course of handling the said firearm, the trigger was accidentally pressed, resulting into an injury on the hip of the injured. Thereupon, a complaint was filed by respondent no. 2 which culminated into the present FIR against the petitioners. It is stated that during pendency of the present case, with the intervention of friends and family, both the parties have amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement Deed/ Compromise Deed dated 15.10.2025, entered between them.

7. On a query made by this Court, respondent no.2, who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Therefore, she has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed.

8. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

9. Accordingly, FIR bearing no. 252/2024, registered at Police Station



Bawana, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 336/338 of IPC and Section 25/27/30 of Arms Act, 1959 and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to the petitioners depositing cost of ₹25,000/- each with the Delhi High Court Bar Association Advocates Welfare Fund, within a period of seven days from date.

10. In view of the above, the present petition, alongwith pending application, if any, stands disposed of.

11. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025/ns