



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 927/2025

DBL KALMATH ZARAP HIGHWAYS LIMITEDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Harish Malik, Advocate

versus

MINISTRY OF ROAD TRANSPORT AND HIGHWAYS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. A. P. Singh and Mr. Varnit
Vashistha, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

ORDER

16.12.2025

%

1. The present Petition under Section 29A(4) & (5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 has been filed by the Petitioner for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
2. Material on record indicates that an Arbitral Tribunal was constituted on 14.12.2023. It is stated that pleadings in the Arbitration proceedings were completed on 23.04.2024. It is stated that the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal was extended by the parties by way of mutual consent on 23.04.2025 and the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal has expired on 23.10.2025, and therefore, the present Petition has been filed for extending the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal.
3. It is stated that award has been reserved and, therefore, the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal be extended so that the award can be pronounced.



4. The Apex Court in Rohan Builders (India) Private Limited v. Berger Paints India Limited, **2024 SCC OnLine SC 2494**, has held that an application for extension of the time period for passing an arbitral award under Section 29A(4) read with Section 29A(5) is maintainable even after the expiry of the twelve-month or the extended six-month period, as the case may be.
5. In view of the facts of the present case, this Court is inclined to regularize the period from 23.10.2025 till today and extend the mandate of the Arbitral Tribunal till 30.04.2026, so that the award can be pronounced.
6. The petition is disposed of, along with the pending applications, if any.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

DECEMBER 16, 2025
Rahul