



\$~78

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 7800/2025, CRL.M.A. 32669/2025 & CRL.M.A. 32747/2025

ROCHAN PARASHAR

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shreyas Mehrotra, Mr. Garvit Sharma, Advs. with the petitioner in person

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP for State with Ms. Divya Bakshi, Adv. along with SI Tej Dutt, PS Hari Nagar and SI Ravi Narwal, IO Respondents in person

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER

06.11.2025

%

1. The instant petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNSS") [earlier Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (hereinafter "CrPC")] has been filed by the petitioner praying for quashing of FIR no. 439/2024 registered at Police Station Hari Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 281/125(a) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (hereinafter "BNS").

2. In brief, the allegations in the FIR are that on 20.10.2024, at about 10:19 a.m., there was a road accident involving the petitioner and the deceased late Sh. Moti Lal Suter. The deceased, riding an electric gearless two-wheeler, allegedly swerved suddenly into the lane of the petitioner's motorcycle, resulting in a collision. Both sustained injuries, and though the



petitioner took the deceased to the hospital, the latter succumbed to his injuries the same day. The police registered the instant FIR.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that charges have been framed and the chargesheet has already been filed against the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioner and respondents no. 2 to 4, i.e., the legal heirs of the deceased have now settled their disputes amicably and are now moving forward towards a peaceful and harmonious future.

4. Compromise deed dated 29.09.2025 is on record and has been annexed as Annexure P-3. Qua this deed, the respondents no. 2 to 4, have agreed to withdraw the case arising out of FIR No. 439/2024 registered at Police Station Hari Nagar against the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that respondents no. 2 to 4 have settled all their claims with the petitioners and all disputes of any nature whatsoever for a sum of 2,00,000/- which was agreed to be paid at the time of quashing of the FIR.

6. At this juncture, petitioner has handed over a Demand Draft bearing No. 958986 dated 06.11.2025 for the amount of ₹2,00,000/- in the name of respondent no. 2 today in the Court. Respondent no. 2 has verified the particulars of the Demand Draft to her satisfaction and stated them to be correct.

7. It is thus prayed by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the parties that the instant FIR may be quashed on the basis of the compromise.

8. Heard. Issue notice.

9. Learned APP for the State, who appears on advance notice, accepts notice and submits that there is no opposition to the prayer made by the



petitioners seeking quashing of the FIR in question in view of the settlement arrived at between the parties.

10. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

11. The petitioners are present before this Court and have been identified by their counsel and Investigating Officer, Police Station Mangolpuri. Respondents no. 2 to 4 are also present in the Court and have been identified by their counsel and the Investigating Officer.

12. On a query made by this Court, respondents no. 2 to 4 have categorically stated that they have entered into compromise on their own free will and without any pressure. It is also stated by them that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and they do not wish to pursue this case any further as they are now moving towards the future.

13. Keeping in view the fact that the matter stands amicably settled between the petitioner and respondents no. 2 to 4, without any pressure, no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping the matter pending.

14. It is, thus, in the interest of justice to quash the afore-mentioned FIR and the proceedings emanating therefrom.

15. Hence, in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab* (2012) 10 SCC 303**, FIR no. 439/2024 registered at Police Station Hari Nagar for the offences punishable under Sections 281/125(a) of the BNS and consequent proceedings emanating therefrom, are quashed *qua* the present petitioner.

16. The petition alongwith pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/Sk/ryp