



\$~20

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 16788/2025 & CM APPL. 69000/2025, CM APPL.
69001/2025

SAMINA KHATUN

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Mishra, Mr.
Piyush Kumar Singh and Ms. Renu,
Adv.

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI AND
ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Beenashw N. Soni, Standing
Counsel for MCD with Ms. Mansi
Jain, Adv.
Mr. Izhar Ahmad, Sr. Panel Counsel
for UOI with Mr. Noor Alam, Adv. for
R-3 & 5.
Inspector Mantosh Kumar, PS-
Shalimar Bagh, for R-3 & R-5.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
24.11.2025

%

1. Since the petitioner has a vending certificate in the category of “*food/snack with gas cylinder/fire*”, the petitioner, in our opinion, ought not to have been termed/treated as a *mobile vendor*, as the vending activity with the assistance of gas/fire cannot be mobile.



2. That being so, we deem it appropriate to permit the petitioner to vend in accordance with law provided that all other conditions are duly complied with by the petitioner.
3. The present petition stands disposed of in terms of the above.
4. Pending applications also stand disposed of.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

ANISH DAYAL, J

NOVEMBER 24, 2025/sky/sk