



2025:DHC:9748-DB



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 16779/2025 & CM APPL. 68923/2025

SURENDER SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Dr. S.S. Hooda, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rohan Jaitley CGSC, Mr.
Dev Pratap Shahi, Mr. Varun Pratap Singh,
Mr. Yogya Bhatia, Advs.

Mr. Ajaypal, Law Officer CRPF

CORAM:**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. HARI SHANKAR****HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE OM PRAKASH SHUKLA****ORDER(ORAL)**

%

06.11.2025**C. HARI SHANKAR, J.**

1. Learned Counsel for the respondents has drawn our attention to letter dated 6 March 2025, written by the petitioner to Dr. Archana Shivhare, IPS, Inspector General, Rajasthan Sector-cum-Chairperson, ICC, specifically stating that the grievance of the petitioner is *sub judice* before the High Court of Punjab and Haryana in WP (C) 16588/2024 (**Surender Singh v UOI & Ors.**). He points out that this document has not been placed on record and that, therefore, the petition suffers from concealment of facts.

2. To a query from the Court in that regard, Dr. Hooda submits that the grievance in that writ petition is different and that the aforesaid letter has been written by a person unschooled in law.



2025:DHC:9748-DB



3. This argument does not appeal to us, as the letter has been written by the petitioner himself, who holds the post of Commandant in the CRPF.

4. We, however, are for the present are inclined to take a lenient view regarding the non-inclusion of any reference to the proceedings before the High Court of Punjab & Haryana in the present writ petition.

5. While this writ petition could have been dismissed on that ground, we permit the petitioner to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to re-institute the writ petition after placing the aforesaid document on record as well as any other document which may be relevant to the case.

6. We make it clear that we have not applied our mind as to whether the petitioner should be permitted to approach one High Court in respect of one grievance and another High Court in respect of another grievance. That is an aspect which we would examine after a separate writ petition is instituted, if at all.

7. With leave and liberty as aforesaid, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

C. HARI SHANKAR, J.

OM PRAKASH SHUKLA, J.

NOVEMBER 6, 2025/rjd