



\$~27

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7808/2025**

ASHISH BEGWANI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anupam Sharma, Adv., Mr.
Rakshit Gupta, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State.
Mr. Vijay Kasana, Mr. Chirag Verma,
Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocates for
R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

21.11.2025

%

1. Petition under Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (*hereinafter referred to as 'B.N.S.S.'*) read with Article 227 of the *Constitution of India*, has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner, for setting the Impugned Order dated 18.10.2025 of learned Link Chief Judicial Magistrate, South District, Saket Courts, New Delhi in FIR No. 115/2024 registered at Police Station Economic Offences Wing, New Delhi.
2. The learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2, submits that the Respondent has already travelled pursuant to this Order and the present Petition has become infructuous.
3. Learned counsel on behalf of the Petitioner submits that it may be clarified that this Order may not be taken as precedent for future and the Petitioner may be given the liberty to agitate the same for any relief



including that of transfer of the Case.

4. **Submissions heard and the record perused.**

5. The Impugned Order granted permission to the Accused to travel, which he has already done, the Petition has become infructuous and disposed of accordingly with the observation that the Petitioner is at liberty to take the legal objections with the clarification that there is no finding of this Court and the Petitioner is at liberty to take the grounds as stated before the Court of competent jurisdiction.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2025/RS