



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 16660/2025, CM APPL. 68346/2025, CM APPL. 68347/2025

MOHAMMED AKHIL ILLATH AND ANRPetitioners
Through: Mr. Rohit Singh, Advocate.

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ANRRespondents
Through: Ms. Radhika Bishwajit Dubey,
CGSC alongwith Ms. Gurleen Kaur
Waraich, Mr. Kritarth Upadhyay
and Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocates
for R-1 and R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER
07.11.2025

%

1. Though the authority letter executed by the petitioner no.2 in favour of petitioner no.1 has been filed, however, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks, and is granted, a period of *three* days for getting the same signed.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.2, as per instructions received, submits that the applications of both the petitioners have been rejected by the respondent no.2 since they were not accompanied by the requisite documents which were supposed to be filed for consideration *qua* the case set out by the petitioners. She submits that a copy thereof shall be supplied within a period of *one week*.



3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he is unaware of the aforesaid rejection as he has not received a copy thereof.

4. Be that as it may, since a copy shall be supplied to him, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach this Court for seeking appropriate remedies in accordance with law.

5. Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

NOVEMBER 7, 2025/bh