



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ W.P.(C) 16602/2025 & CM APPL. 68064/2025
MRS AMITA MALHOTRA & ORS.Petitioners
Through: Mr. S.Sunil, Adv.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr.Balendu Shekhar, CGSC for
UOI with Mr. Krishna
Chaitanya, Mr. Divyansh Singh
Dev, Mr. Rajkumar Maurya,
Adv. for UOI/R1.
Mr. Shaurya R.Rai, SPC with
Mr. Amit Kumar Rana, GP for
UOI.
Mr. S. Rajappa, Mr. R.
Gowrishankar and Ms. G.
Dhivyasri, Advs. for KVS.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAVIN CHAWLA
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MADHU JAIN

ORDER
20.11.2025

%

1. This petition has been filed, challenging the Order dated 20.12.2023 passed by the learned Central Administrative Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi (hereinafter referred to as, the 'Tribunal') in O.A. No. 4656/2018, titled ***Mrs. Veena Rani Joshi & Ors. v. Union of India & Ors.***, whereby the said O.A. filed by the petitioners herein, seeking, *inter alia*, the grant of pensions under the GPF-cum-Pension Scheme, was rejected.
2. The issue raised by the petitioners now stands covered by our Judgment dated 02.09.2025, titled ***Bharti Bahuguna v. Kendriya***



Vidyalaya Sangathan & Ors., 2025:DHC:7629-DB.

3. The learned counsels for the respondents have, however, raised an objection with respect to the delay in filing the present petitions.

4. We find merit in the said submission of the learned counsels for the respondents, however, at the same time, as the matter involves a claim of pension, we balance the equities by directing that, for the intervening period, that is, from the date of the Order passed by the learned Tribunal till the filing of the present Writ Petition, the petitioners would not be entitled to claim interest on any amount found payable to them pursuant to the relief granted by us in the aforesaid Judgment.

5. The petition, along with the pending application, is accordingly, disposed of in the above terms.

NAVIN CHAWLA, J

MADHU JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 20, 2025/prg/P/Yg