



\$~53

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 3661/2024**

PREM ALIAS PREM SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhishek Sharma, Adv. with
Petitioner in person.

versus

**THE STATE GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
AND ANR.**

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rahul Tyagi, ASC for State with
Mr. Sangeet Sibou, Adv.
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv. for R-2 with
R-2 in person.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA

ORDER

22.09.2025

%

1. Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India read with Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 ("B.N.S.S.") has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for quashing of FIR No.0277/2019 under Sections 363/376(2)(n) IPC and Section 4 POCSO Act, registered at PS: Sarai Rohilla, New Delhi, in terms of No Objection given by Respondent No.2 on Affidavit dated 29.10.2024.
2. Brief facts of the case are that Respondent No.2 herself had voluntarily left her parental home to go with the Petitioner. Therefore, the FIR under Section 33 IPC was registered.
3. Subsequently, it was found that Respondent No.2 was 16 years of age and got married with the Petitioner in the year 2019 and they have been blessed with two children. Respondent No.2 is present in the Court and submits that she and the Petitioner are residing together without any force,



threat or pressure. There is no Complaint against the Petitioner.

4. It is further submitted that on 23.03.2025, on Complaint of Respondent No. 2, FIR No.0277/2019 under Sections 363/376(2)(n) IPC and Section 4 POCSO Act was registered at PS: Sarai Rohilla, New Delhi.

5. The parties are present in the Court and have been identified by the IO. Respondent No.2 submits that she has signed the Affidavit of No objection to quashing of FIR without any force, pressure, threat or coercion.

6. Learned Counsel for Petitioner submits that the parties have been happily living together for the last six years, since 2019 and have no grievance against each other. Since Respondent No.2 has already made a statement before the Court that she does not want to pursue the proceedings in FIR, the present Petition be allowed and FIR No.0277/2019 be quashed.

7. In view of No Objection given by Respondent No.2 on Affidavit dated 29.10.2024, present Petition has been filed.

8. Respondent No.2 is present and submits that she has no grievance against the Petitioners and also no objection to the quashing of FIR. She affirms that she is happily living together with the Petitioner.

9. Considering the fact that parties have settled their disputes and Respondent No.2 has also given no objection to the quashing of FIR, no fruitful purpose would be served in continuing with the proceedings arising out of the FIR. Though, Respondent No.2 was minor at the time of joining company of the Petitioner, but since they have now been married and are having two children from their wedlock, no purpose would be served by keeping the present proceedings pending.

10. Consequently, FIR No.0277/2019 under Sections 363/376(2)(n) IPC and Section 4 POCSO Act, registered at PS: Sarai Rohilla, New Delhi and all



consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.

11. The Petition along with pending Application is disposed of.

NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J.

SEPTEMBER 22, 2025/R