



\$~112

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16543/2025**

**TAVISHI SHARMA(MINOR) THROUGH HER NATURAL
GUARDIAN JYOTI SHARMA (MOTHER)Petitioner**

**Through: Mr. Pankaj Sharmaand Mr. Kartik
Arora, Advs.**

versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS.Respondents

**Through: Mr. Farman Ali, CGSC with Ms.
Usha Jamnal, Adv. for UoI.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% 09.02.2026

CM APPL. 8813/2026 (by the petitioner for direction)

1. If the directions passed by this Court on 31.10.2025 are not being fully adhered to by the respondents, the petitioner will have to pursue the remedy of contempt proceedings.
2. Normally, the Court doesn't prefer to exercise the power of continuous mandamus, unless the same is inevitable. With the aforesaid liberty, the application stands disposed of.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2026/P/MJ