



\$~111 to 124

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 16423/2025

KARAN SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

112

+ W.P.(C) 16427/2025

SNEHA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

113

+ W.P.(C) 16428/2025

RAHUL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus



GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

114

+ W.P.(C) 16444/2025

KARANPetitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

115

+ W.P.(C) 16445/2025

KHUSHBU KUMARIPetitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

116

+ W.P.(C) 16446/2025

RUKMA DEVIPetitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.



versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

117

+ W.P.(C) 16483/2025

CHANDRABHANPetitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

118

+ W.P.(C) 16485/2025

REKHA DEVIPetitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY, &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

119

+ W.P.(C) 16506/2025

SANGEETAPetitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.



versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.
Mr. Nishant Rai Goel, Mr. Gagan Talwar and Ms.
Amrita Jha, Advocates for R-4.

120

+ W.P.(C) 16615/2025

VINOD KUMAR AGGARWALPetitioner
Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

121

+ W.P.(C) 16656/2025

SANJEEV KUMARPetitioner
Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

122

+ W.P.(C) 16685/2025

ANJULA DEVIPetitioner



Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY,
GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

123

+ W.P.(C) 16686/2025

MANDAKINI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.

124

+ W.P.(C) 16785/2025

ASHA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. C. Adhikesavan, Mr. Amar Pal
and Ms. Shaifali, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH CHIEF SECRETARY &
ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Panel Counsel
with Ms. Chandrika Sachdev and Mr. Dhruv
Kumar, Advocates GNCTD.



CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER
11.11.2025

%

1. These writ petitions have been filed by the Petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to the Respondents to grant admissions to the children in the schools allocated to them in the Economically Weaker Section Quota.

2. Admissions were denied vide speaking orders issued by Directorate of Education (DoE), GNCTD, against which appeals were filed before District Admission Monitoring Committee ('DAMC') and when the writ petitions were filed, the appeals were admittedly pending. One of the grounds in the writ petitions was that the appeals were not decided on time and/or that there was no communication to the Petitioners on the outcome of their appeals. During the pendency of these writ petitions, appeals were decided and rejected by DAMC and pursuant to order of the Court dated 30.10.2025, copies of the decisions in the respective writ petitions were filed by DoE, GNCTD.

3. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that there are legal infirmities in the decisions of DAMC and it would be necessary to challenge the decisions. In light of this, on instructions, learned counsel seeks to withdraw these writ petitions with liberty to file fresh petitions laying a challenge to the speaking orders as also the decisions in appeals by DAMC.

4. Accordingly, these writ petitions are disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the Petitioners to file fresh writ petitions on the same cause of action including a challenge to the appellate orders, in accordance with law.



Counsel for DoE, GNCTD will furnish copies of the decisions taken by DAMC in respect of all the writ Petitioners to the counsel for the Petitioners, within two days from today in order to avoid any controversy with regard to receipt of copies of the decisions by the Petitioners.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the cases and all rights and contentions of the respective parties are left open.

JYOTI SINGH, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025

RW