



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7630/2025**

RITU CHERNALIA & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Harshvardhan Pandey, Advocate.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Tarang Srivastava, learned APP
for State with SI Ajay Kumar, PS-Saket.

Mr. Aditya Chhibber, Advocate for R2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

% **19.12.2025**

CRL.M.A. 37972/2025

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 7630/2025

3. The present petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioners under Section 528 of BNSS seeking quashing of FIR No. 297/2021 under Sections 323/354/506/509 read with Section 34 IPC registered at PS Saket, Delhi and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom, on the ground that the parties have arrived at a settlement.
4. Issue notice.
5. Mr. Tarang Srivastava, learned APP for the State accepts notice.
6. Petitioner no.1, former wife of the brother of respondent no.2, is present in Court, whereas, petitioner nos. 2 and 3, who are mother and brother of petitioner no.1 respectively, as well as, respondent no.2, sister of



former husband of petitioner no.1, have joined through VC. They have been identified by Mr. Harshvardhan Pandey, learned counsel for petitioners, Mr. Aditya Chhibber, learned counsel for respondent no. 2, as well as, by the investigating officer SI Ajay Kumar, PS-Saket.

7. The case of the prosecution is that a scuffle between the petitioners with respondent no.2 and her family members took place on 13.10.2021, which led to the registration of present FIR at the instance of respondent no.2.

8. It is further the case of the prosecution that marriage between petitioner no.1 and brother of respondent no.2 was solemnized on 08.12.2010 and a male child was born out of the said wedlock, who is in the care and custody of petitioner no.1. However, on account of temperamental issues, certain disputes arose between the parties and they started living separately since September, 2021, which led the registration of cross-case being FIR 242/2022, under Sections 498A/406/34 registered at PS-KM Pur at the instance of petitioner no.1. However, subsequently, offence under Section 377 of IPC was also added in the said FIR at the time of filing of chargesheet.

9. He contends that during the pendency of the present proceedings, parties have arrived at a settlement, terms whereof were reduced in writing in the form of Settlement Agreement dated 10.02.2025, copy of which is annexed as Annexure-P-5 to the present petition.

10. Mr. Pandey submits that in terms of the settlement, the aforesaid cross-FIR has already been quashed by this Court vide order dated 16.10.2025 in CrI. M.C. 7388/2025.

11. On being queried by this Court, respondent no. 2 affirm the factum of



settlement, and states that she has no objection in case the present FIR is quashed.

12. In view of the fact that the parties have arrived at a settlement, no useful purpose will be served in continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them.

13. It is, thus, in the interest of justice that the present FIR and all the other proceedings emanating therefrom be quashed.

14. Consequently, the petition is allowed and the FIR No. 297/2021 under Sections 323/354/506/509 read with Section 34 IPC registered at PS Saket, Delhi alongwith all other proceedings emanating therefrom, is quashed.

15. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

16. Order be uploaded on the website of this court.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

DECEMBER 19, 2025/jg