



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ MAC.APP. 682/2025 & CM APPLs. 66664/2025, 66665/2025,
66666/2025, 66668/2025

M/S REGENT AUTOMOBILES LIMITEDAppellant

Through: Mr. Nimish Chib, Advocate

versus

RELIANCE GENERAL INSURANCE CO. LTDRespondent

Through: Mr. Rajeev M. Roy, Advocate

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

ORDER

% **15.12.2025**

1. This appeal has been filed by M/s Regent Automobiles Ltd., which was arrayed as respondent No. 2 before the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal [“the Tribunal”] in Suit No. 338/2009. It was the owner of a vehicle bearing registration No. DL-3-CAE-1993. The respondent herein, Reliance General Insurance Company Ltd. [“Insurance Company”], had insured the said vehicle.

2. Before the Tribunal, both the appellant and the Insurance Company were respondents in a claim arising out of an accident that took place on 14.04.2007, involving the insured vehicle. By the impugned award, the Tribunal granted compensation of Rs. 3,75,000/- to the claimants, along with interest at the rate of 7.5% per annum. While the Insurance Company was directed to deposit the awarded amount, it was granted recovery rights against the appellant-owner, which forms the subject matter of challenge in the present appeal.

3. Although the present appeal is belated by 4,547 days, Mr. Nimish



Chib, learned counsel for the appellant, submits that a considerable part of the delay was occasioned due to the filing of a misconceived petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India before this Court [CM(M) 968/2021] against an order dated 01.03.2021 in execution proceedings. During the pendency of the said petition, by order dated 24.08.2022, the appellant was directed to deposit 50% of the decretal amount before the Tribunal, and the operation of the impugned award was stayed. The said amount was duly deposited; however, the petition was ultimately dismissed by this Court *vide* order dated 29.07.2025 as not maintainable, while granting the appellant liberty to take appropriate steps for redressal of its grievance.

4. Learned counsel for both the parties submit, upon instructions, that the present appeal may be disposed of by directing the Tribunal to release the amount deposited by the appellant, along with the interest accrued thereupon, to the Insurance Company. The award of the Tribunal, insofar as it grants recovery rights, shall stand satisfied upon such release.

5. In view of the aforesaid statement, the present appeal, alongwith the pending applications, stands disposed of, with the direction that the recovery rights granted by the impugned award against the appellant in favour of the respondent, will stand satisfied upon release of the amount deposited by the appellant pursuant to the order dated 24.08.2022 in CM(M) 968/2021 to the respondent.

6. The statutory deposit be refunded to the appellant.

PRATEEK JALAN, J

DECEMBER 15, 2025/dy/JM/