



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7559/2025**

ANSHUL GUPTA AND OTHER

.....Petitioners

Through: Petitioners with their counsel Dr. Ankit Gupta, Mr. Shivam Sharma, Ms. Meenakshi Goyal, Mr. Mukul Rana, Mr. Sudhanshu Goel and Ms. Tanisha Arora, Advs.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT DELHI AND ANOTHERRespondents

Through: Mr. Hitesh Vali, APP for the State along with SI Priyanka. R-2 with her counsel Mr. Naveen Kumar Jain and Mr. Sachin Kumar Jain, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

28.10.2025

%

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners seek quashing of FIR bearing no. 002/2025, registered at Police Station Shahdara, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC') and Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961 (hereafter 'DP Act').

2. The petitioners are present through video-conferencing and respondent no. 2 is physically present before this Court. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from



Police Station Shahdara, Delhi.

3. Brief facts of the case are that the marriage between petitioner no. 1 and respondent no. 2 was solemnized at Uttar Pradesh on 08.03.2019, in accordance with Hindu rites and ceremonies. It is stated that due to various differences, disputes and issues had arisen between the petitioners and respondent no. 2. Upon the complaint by respondent no. 2, the present FIR came to be registered against the petitioners. It is stated that with intervention of the family and friends, the parties have now amicably settled their dispute *vide* Settlement Deed dated 06.08.2025.

4. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2 who has been identified by the IO, has categorically stated that she has entered into compromise out of her own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. A demand draft bearing No. 013961, for an amount of ₹6 lakhs has been handed to respondent no. 2 by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner towards the balance compromise amount. Therefore, respondent no. 2 has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

5. In view of the above, that the parties have amicably resolved their differences of their own free will, and without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

6. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 002/2025, registered at Police Station Shahdara, Delhi for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 498A/406/34 of the IPC and Sections 3/4 of DP Act and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed.



7. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.
8. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 28, 2025/A