



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 7514/2025**

AMIT KUMAR & ANR.

.....Petitioners

Through: Mr. Harsh Vardhan Sharma, Mr. Neeraj Kumarh, Mr. Amarnath, Mr. Shashwat and Mr. Samarth Vikram Singh, Advocates

versus

STATE OF NCT DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Aashneet Singh, APP for the State with SI Vivek Kumar. P.S. G.K. 1
Mr. Shivinder Chopra, Advocate for R-2

CORAM:

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE SWARANA KANTA SHARMA

ORDER

23.12.2025

%

1. By way of the present petition, the applicant is seeking quashing of the FIR bearing no. 183/2022, registered at Police Station Greater Kailash, South, New Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 420/406/448/120B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (hereafter 'IPC').
2. The petitioners and respondent no. 2 are present before this Court. They have been identified by their counsels and concerned Investigating Officer (IO) from Police Station Greater Kailash, South, New Delhi.
3. Briefly stated, the allegations against petitioner No.1 are that he is in illegal possession of the property comprising the front portion of a flat on



the third floor of property bearing No. A-2, Greater Kailash Enclave, Part-I, New Delhi-110048, and that he has thereby caused wrongful loss to respondent no. 2. Subsequently, in December 2024, respondent No. 2 had lodged a complaint before Police Station Ranhola asserting ownership over the said property, which culminated into the present FIR.

4. On instruction from the concerned Investigating Officer (I.O.), the learned APP for the State submits that the allegations of forgery could not be substantiated during investigation and therefore, chargesheet was not file under those sections.

5. It is stated that both the parties have amicably settled the present matter *vide* Compromise Deed dated 28.11.2022, entered between them.

6. On a query made by this Court, respondent no. 2, who has been identified by the concerned IO, has categorically stated that he has entered into compromise out of his own free will and without any pressure, coercion or threat. It is also stated by respondent no. 2 that the entire dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. Respondent no. 2 further states that he has no objection if the present FIR is quashed.

7. In view of the above fact that the parties have amicably resolved their differences out of their own free will, without any coercion, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the proceedings, rather the same would create further acrimony between them. It would thus be in interest of justice to quash the abovementioned FIR and the proceedings pursuant thereto. There is no legal impediment in quashing the FIR in question.

8. Accordingly, FIR bearing No. 183/2022, registered at Police Station Greater Kailash, South, New Delhi, for the commission of offences punishable under Sections 420/406/448/120B of IPC and all consequential



proceedings emanating therefrom are quashed, subject to the petitioner no.1 depositing cost of Rs.25,000/, with Delhi High Court Bar Association Advocates' Welfare Fund, and petitioner no. 2 depositing cost of Rs.25,000/-, with Central Victim Compensation Fund (CVCF), Ministry of Home Affairs, within a period of two weeks from date under intimation to the Registry.

9. In view of the above, the present petition stands disposed of.

10. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

DR. SWARANA KANTA SHARMA, J

DECEMBER 23, 2025/ns

G.J.