



\$~O-63

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **O.M.P.(MISC.)(COMM.) 905/2024**

M/S TAURANT PROJECTS LTD

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms.Jyoti Nambiar and Ms.Ayushi
Saxena, Advocates.

versus

M/S GAIL INDIA LTD

.....Respondent

Through: Mr.N.L. Ganapathi and Ms.Preeti
Singh, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

%

26.03.2025

1. There seem to be certain objections with respect to factual averments *qua* completion of pleadings by the respondent.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent however has no objection if the mandate of the Arbitrator is extended.
3. Taking into consideration various facts as has been enumerated in the application and with the consent of the parties, the Court deems it appropriate to extend the mandate of the Arbitrator for a period of fifteen (15) months from the date of its expiry.
4. Ordered accordingly.
5. The instant petition is disposed of accordingly.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 26, 2025

Nc/@m

Click here to check corrigendum, if any